

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
4

5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 JOHNNY NEDDENRIEP, MATTHEW
9 DUNLAP, BERT DAVISSON, ANDREW
10 LOZANO, PAUL VOLL, JOHN JUAREZ,
11 and JOHN SIEMER,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:16-cr-00265-GMN-NJK

Order

(Docket Nos. 1817, 1819, 1823)

12 Pending before the Court are several motions to extend time for the filing of additional
13 motions. Docket Nos. 1817, 1819, 1823. The Court held a hearing on these motions on September
14 20, 2019. Docket No. 1860. At the hearing, counsel for Defendant Davisson identified one
15 potential pretrial motion that he would like to file, based upon recently-issued caselaw. All other
16 potential motions appear to be motions *in limine*. As the motions to extend time were filed on
17 behalf of only three of the seven defendants in Group 2, the Court allowed counsel the opportunity
18 to speak with counsel for the remaining defendants in that group and file a status report regarding
19 their positions on the motions. That status report has now been filed. Docket No. 1867.

20 The status report requests a hearing to “discuss issues, such as those Defendants in Group
21 2 who have been and still are currently detained. In addition, any resetting of Group 2’s trial date
22 may impact Group 3’s trial date, therefore foreshadowing Group 3’s possible input as well.” *Id.*
23 at 2. As the Court clearly stated at the hearing, however, the undersigned will not make the
24 determination as to whether the trial for Group 2 is continued; therefore, any discussion regarding
25 that matter before the undersigned is unnecessary. Further, if counsel wish to bring issues
26 regarding custody matters before the Court, counsel must follow the proper procedure.

27 Accordingly, the request for a hearing is **DENIED**. Further, based on the representations
28 at the September 20, 2019, hearing, only one potential pretrial motion will be filed and it is based

1 upon caselaw, not on anything that may or may not occur during the trial of the Group 1 defendants.
2 Therefore, the Court **GRANTS** in part the requests for an extension to the pretrial motion deadline.
3 Docket Nos. 1817, 1819, 1823. The pretrial motions deadline for the Group 2 defendants is
4 extended to **October 10, 2019**. The deadline for responses to pretrial motions is extended to
5 **October 24, 2019**. The deadline for replies to pretrial motions is extended to **October 31, 2019**.
6 All other deadlines, as stated in the Court's scheduling order at Docket No. 1453, remain the same.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: September 26, 2019.

9
10
11 
12 NANCY J. KOPPE
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28